

RISK SCREENING¹²

Static, Dynamic and Progress in Treatment Related to Sex Offense Risk

Client: _____ Date: _____ DOB: _____ Reviewer: _____

Reason for Assessment:

Place of residence/level of supervision:

Current restrictions:

1. Offense History:

2. RRASOR

1. Prior Sex Offenses
None: 0; 1 conviction, 1-2 charges: 1; 2-3 convictions, 3-5 charges: 2; 4+ convictions, 6+ charges: 3
2. Age at Release/Evaluation (current age)
More than 25: 0; less than 25: 1 (not for ind. < 18)
3. Victim Gender
Only females: 0; any males: 1
4. Relationship to Victim
Only related: 0; any unrelated: 1

Total Static Risk Score:

3. ARMIDILO-S³: (bold information added for risk factors identified as critical)

Stable – Client	Rating Guidelines	
	Risk	Protective
1. Supervision Compliance	N: Usually follows supervision expectations. S: Some violations of limits <u>or</u> defiance of some directives. Y: High level of non-cooperation with rules, refusing directives, manipulative or deceptive with all staff.	N: No evidence that client makes extra effort to facilitate/utilize supervision. S: Generally follows rules and attempts to facilitate and associate with staff. Y: Almost always follows directions of staff, including when they are not physically present.
2. Treatment Compliance	N: Participates in tx but may have limited participation in some small aspects. S: Reluctant to attend tx <u>or</u> has low level of participation in some aspects of tx. Y: Has been terminated from tx <u>or</u> client has dropped out of tx <u>or</u> refuses to engage in most aspects of tx <u>or</u> if he attends, he is disruptive.	N: Participates but only does what is minimally expected. S: Actively participates in tx and completes homework <u>or</u> has completed treatment. Y: Engages in tx independently <u>or</u> puts treatment into action; and may express positive statement about treatment outside of the treatment setting.
3. Sexual Deviance	N: No evidence of client having deviant sexual interests as demonstrated by fantasies, thoughts or behaviors. S: Some degree of deviance indicated by testing (PPG, VRT), hx of offending (whether brought to attention of authorities or not), self-report of sexual offending or deviant interest <u>or</u> some demonstration of deviant interests. Y: Has significant history <u>or</u> demonstrates fixation on themes that indicates deviant interest <u>or</u> attempts to access potential victims for sexual purposes.	N: Client demonstrates behavior indicating deviant interests. S: Client informs support persons when having deviant thoughts <u>or</u> seeks help when having sexual urges <u>or</u> has history of such risk-reducing behavior. Y: Client does not demonstrate any deviant interests verbally or behavior <u>nor</u> does the client have any adult history of such interest or behavior.
4. Sexual Preoccupation/Drive	N: No evidence of client having any excessive sex thoughts or behaviors. S: Demonstrates one somewhat excessive sex thought or behavior.	N: Present evidence of excessive sexual behavior or sexual drive. S: Masturbates at a low level (few times/week). Y: Demonstrates little general interest in sexual thoughts and

¹ Format developed by Faccini, L., Saide, M. and Haaven, J.

² Revision date: October 15, 2013

³ Boer, Haaven, Lambrick, Lindsay, McVilly, Sakdalan, and Frize, Web Version 1.1 (2013)

3. Changes in Victim-Related Behaviors	<p>N: No change from baseline behavior of the past S: In a few incidents the client was inappropriately in close proximity of a potential victim but the situation was unplanned or not intended Y: Large increase in attempts to be in close proximity <u>or</u> planning to access potential victims.</p>	<p>N: No change from baseline behavior of the past S: Reduction of planned or unplanned situations in being in close proximity to potential victims. Y: Demonstrates willingness to inform staff when he has thoughts of observing or accessing victims.</p>
4. Changes in Emotional Coping Ability	<p>N: No change from baseline behavior of the past. S: Somewhat an increase in negative emotional reactions such as emotional ruminations or paranoid impulses. Y: Large increase in negative emotional reactions such as emotional ruminations or paranoid impulses.</p>	<p>N: No change from baseline behavior of the past. S: Somewhat of an increase in attempts to seek help from others when emotionally upset. Y: Large increase in attempts to seek support from others when emotionally upset.</p>
5. Changes in Use of Coping Strategies	<p>N: No change from baseline behavior of the past S: Somewhat of a reduction in use of established coping skills. Y: Large reduction in use of established coping skills.</p>	<p>N: No change from baseline behavior of the past S: Somewhat of an increase in persistence by client in using risk coping skills. Y: Large increase in client persistence in using risk coping skills.</p>
6. Changes in Unique Considerations	<p>N: No change from baseline behavior of the past. S: Unique personal change that reflects somewhat of a decrease in client's self-management skills <u>or</u> quality of life. Y: Unique personal change that has led to a large decrease in client's self-management skills <u>or</u> quality of life.</p>	<p>N: No change from baseline behavior of the past. S: Addition or change of a personal characteristic that has led to somewhat of an improvement in client's self-management risk skills <u>or</u> quality of life. Y: Addition or change of a personal characteristic that has led to a large improvement in client's self-management skills <u>or</u> quality of life.</p>
Stable – Environmental	Rating Guidelines	
	Risk	Protective
1. Attitude Towards ID Client	<p>N: Staff are generally helpful and supportive of client. S: Some non-primary support staff are non-supportive <u>or</u> dismissive of the individual. Y: Most primary support staff are non-supportive, disrespectful, frustrated, <u>or</u> dismissive and the client seems aware.</p>	<p>N: Support person staff demonstrate little evidence of a supportive approach to the individual. S: At least one primary support person that has a particularly therapeutic relationship/helpful with the client. Y: Primary support persons generally maintain therapeutic relationships; supportive, genuine, accepting and respectful.</p>
2. Communication Among Support Staff	<p>N: Support persons' communication systems are in place <u>and</u> communication seems to flow adequately. S: Support persons inconsistently share info with each other about the client although there may be some formal communication systems in place. Y: Support persons have significant disagreement with each other regarding the support plan or direct line staff have poor communication with management/clinical.</p>	<p>N: Support persons show no particular attention to improving communication systems. S: Support staff are invested in improving info sharing but may need more direction as to what and how to accomplish it. Y: Support persons are open and share all info regarding the client's activities <u>and</u> current problems.</p>
3. Client Specific Knowledge by Support Staff	<p>N: Support persons are aware of the details of the support plan and know what behavior indicates increased risk for offending. S: Some support staff know the support plan <u>or</u> the client's risk indicators of offending. Y: Most primary support staff are unaware of the client's support plan <u>and</u> risk indicators.</p>	<p>N: Support persons have some differing ideas of the client's risk indicators. S: Some support persons know the client's support plan, risk indicators <u>and</u> are somewhat vigilant regarding the client's emotional or behavioral changes. Y: Most primary support persons know the individual's support plan, risk indicators <u>and</u> are very vigilant about changes on the part of the client.</p>
4. Consistency of Supervision/Intervention	<p>N: Primary support staff consistently follow the client's support plan. S: Staff have high turnover or part-time staff are used which imparts the support plan <u>or</u> somewhat inconsistent interventions by staff of the client's risky behaviors. Y: Multiple support persons demonstrate inconsistent interventions of the client's risky behaviors.</p>	<p>N: Staff have high turnover <u>or</u> staff are inconsistent with follow through on the support plan. S: There is at least one primary support person that has worked with the client over a long period <u>and</u> demonstrates consistent follow through with the support plan. Y: Staff consistently follow through with support plan.</p>
5. Unique Considerations	<p>N: No environmental situations that have increased vulnerability for sexual offending behavior. S: Environmental situation that may lead to somewhat of an increase in vulnerability for sexual offending. Y: Situation that may lead to a large increase in vulnerability for sexual offending.</p>	<p>N: No indication of unique environmental situation that significantly reduces risk for sexual offending behavior. S: Situation that has somewhat of an increase in barrier(s) to sexual offending. Y: Situational factors that presents a large barrier to sexual offending. Note: enhanced supervision is prime example.</p>
Acute – Environmental	Rating Guidelines (over past 2-3 months)	
	Risk	Protective
1. Changes in Social Relationships	<p>N: Client has no significant change (or an expansion) in social professional relationships. S: Client experiencing somewhat of a disruption due to loss or changes in a relationship.</p>	<p>N: Client has no improvement (or a reduction) in his social circle. S: Client has a change or the addition of a relationship/ or membership in a group that has a somewhat of a positive</p>

	<p>Y: Client has experienced loss <u>or</u> a large degree of disruption in a relationship with a significant social support person (e.g. peer, staff, family, group, organization, family member, pet).</p>	<p>impact. Y: Client has a recent addition of a relationship or membership in a group that he is highly interested or invested in.</p>
2. Changes in Monitoring and Intervention	<p>N: Client has no changes in monitoring of his actions by support persons S: Somewhat reduced observation, tracking or intervention of problematic behavior <u>or</u> there is inconsistency in these functions across support persons. Y: A large reduction in the observation, systematic tracking and intervention of his problematic behaviors by any of the support persons.</p>	<p>N: Client does not have all support persons are following the monitoring guidelines S: Client has somewhat of an increase in observation, tracking and intervention of his problematic behaviors by support persons Y: Client has had significant increase in appropriate observation, tracking, and intervention of problematic behaviors by support persons.</p>
3. Situational Changes	<p>N: Client has not had any noticeable negative impact on his life due to environmental changes. S: Client has had changes in his supports, job, home, medication, physical conditions, etc., that have had somewhat of an aversive impact on his life. Y: Client has had changes in his supports, job, home, medication, physical conditions, etc., that have had a large aversive impact on his life.</p>	<p>N: Client has no improvements from changes that has affected his life situation. S: Client has had improvements in his life situation that has resulted in somewhat of an increase in satisfaction with his life. Y: Client has had improvement in his life situation that has had a large increase in satisfaction with his life.</p>
4. Changes in Victim Access	<p>N: Client has no change in his environment that provides increase in means of offending or access to potential victims S: Client has a change in environment that provides somewhat of an increase in means of offending <u>or</u> access to potential victims. Y: Change in environment that allows for a large increase in means of offending <u>or</u> access to potential victims.</p>	<p>N: No changes in environment to decrease access to or means of offending against potential victims. S: Changes in environment that creates somewhat of a barrier to means of offending <u>or</u> access to potential victims. Y: Changes in environment that creates a large barrier to means of offending <u>or</u> access to potential victims.</p>
5. Unique Considerations	<p>N: No changes of environmental condition that increases risk for offending S: An environmental condition change that has somewhat of a triggering <u>or</u> facilitating effect on the likelihood of sex offending behavior Y: An environmental condition change that has a large likelihood of triggering <u>or</u> facilitating a potential sex offending behavior.</p>	<p>N: No change of environmental condition that decrease vulnerability for offending. S: Has had an environmental condition change that promotes somewhat of a decrease of vulnerability for risk for sexual offending. Y: Has had an environmental condition change that promotes a large decrease of vulnerability for risk for sex offending.</p>

4. OVERALL RATINGS

Actuarial Risk Rating (Static-99 or RRASOR)

LOW MODERATE HIGH

Risk Rating:

LOW MODERATE HIGH

Protective Rating:

LOW MODERATE HIGH

Overall Convergent Risk Estimate

LOW MODERATE HIGH

5. Overall formulation:

6. Progress since last review:

7. Recommendations: